

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/988,978 | 1 | 1/19/2001 | William E. Ford | 450117-03695 9531 | |
| 20999 | 7590 | 05/21/2003 | | | |
| | | ENCE & HAUG | EXAMINER | | |
| 745 FIFTH A NEW YORK | | | | SIEW, JEI | FFREY |
| | • | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1637 | 12 / |
| ٠ | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003 | 10 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/988,978 | FORD ET AL. | | | | | |
| navious notion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| • | Jeffrey Siew | 1637 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-12,15-17</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | • | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the response states that the claimed invention uses different substrates such as silicon oxide, glass, aluminum oxides. In fact Koontz do teach carbon and hydrogen containing polymers (see col.4 lines 50-55) and they explicitly teach glass or silica supports (see col. 1 line57). The terms amorphous surface and single crystal surface read broadly to encompass Koontz et al's teaching of glass substrates. The recitation of certain species do not obviate the broad reading of the terms. Moreover, the response states that in the present invention the plasma does not react with organic polymer. However, the claims do not explicitly recite such a negative limitation. Koontz does teach treating the glass with oxygen plasma. Applicant is directed toward further specifying the types of surfaces suchas saphhire, perovskites and doped derivatives as a possible avenue toward allowable subject matter..

JEFFREY SIEW
PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/13/03